

# **Exhibit 4**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA  
RICHMOND DIVISION

-----	:	
ePLUS, INC.,	:	
	:	
Plaintiff,	:	
v.	:	Civil Action
	:	No. 3:09CV620
LAWSON SOFTWARE, INC.,	:	
	:	November 8, 2011
Defendant.	:	
-----	:	

COMPLETE TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE  
BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT E. PAYNE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

Craig T. Merritt, Esq.  
CHRISTIAN & BARTON  
909 E. Main Street, Suite 1200  
Richmond, VA 23219-3095

Scott L. Robertson, Esq.  
Jennifer A. Albert, Esq.  
GOODWIN PROCTOR  
901 New York Avenue, NW  
Washington, D.C. 20001

Counsel for the plaintiff ePlus

DIANE J. DAFFRON, RPR  
OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

1 APPEARANCES: (Continuing)

2 Dabney J. Carr, IV, Esq.  
3 TROUTMAN SANDERS  
4 Troutman Sanders Building  
5 1001 Haxall Point  
6 P.O. Box 1122  
7 Richmond, VA 23218-1122

8 Josh Krevitt, Esq.  
9 Daniel J. Thomasch, Esq.  
10 GIBSON, DUNN & CRUTCHER  
11 200 Park Avenue  
12 New York, NY 10166

13 Jason Charn-Jieh Lo, Esq.  
14 Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher  
15 333 S. Grand Avenue  
16 Los Angeles, CA 90071

17 Counsel for the defendant Lawson Software  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1 a schedule to do so.

2 That is a separate issue from whether we have  
3 violated the injunction. You didn't accept that  
4 testimony. You rejected it. You put an injunction in  
5 place. Whether we have abided by, as we are confident  
6 we have, or violated, as they allege, the injunction,  
7 it is not determined by the testimony that preceded  
8 the injunction.

9 THE COURT: No, but the remedy that they are  
10 entitled to and the interest that the Court has in  
11 enforcing its injunctions is informed if, in fact,  
12 your client came in here and the lawyers for your  
13 client came in here and made misrepresentations to the  
14 Court about the length of time it would take to  
15 accomplish this and the expense that it would.

16 I cannot tell you how much time was spent and  
17 ink was spent in sorting through that issue alone in  
18 deciding on the propriety, vel non, of an injunction.  
19 While that doesn't have anything to do, I don't think,  
20 with whether you violated the injunction, it certainly  
21 does have something to do with, A, the remedy that  
22 they want, and, B, what the Court thinks is an  
23 appropriate sanction as to your client's conduct.

24 It is not the case that patent law divorces  
25 the Court's concern for the enforcement and compliance